

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO                       |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 09/835,189              | 04/12/2001            | Hermann Fruhm        | CDM/8139.999            | 5365                                  |  |
| 7590 12/09/2003         |                       |                      | EXAMINER                |                                       |  |
| Charles D. McClung      |                       |                      | TON, ANABEL             |                                       |  |
| Chernoff, Vilha         | uer, McClung & Stenze | el, LLP              |                         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |
| 1600 ODS Tower          |                       |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER                          |  |
| 601 S.W. Second Avenue  |                       |                      | 2875                    |                                       |  |
| Portland, OR 97204-3157 |                       |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003 |                                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| e = ==   |  |   |   | Â  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| ¥ ',   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | The state of the s |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/835,189  | FRUHM ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Anabel M Ton  | 2875  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the j - If NO - Failur - Any re  | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 EX (6) MONTES from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛛   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 C</u>  | October 2003.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This   | action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 12-19 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7-10 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(  | (s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 4)  Interview Summary 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/835,189

Art Unit: 2875

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harwood and further in view of Belliveau (6,331,756).
- 1. Harwood discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of programmatically moving each of the supports in the lighting method. Belliveau discloses a method and apparatus with a digital controller for controlling a lighting fixture system. Harwood discloses the structural limitations of the lighting method of claims:
- 2. Providing a plurality of lights, each one of said lights for producing a light beam;
  - Providing a plurality of movable light supports;
  - Mounting each one of said lights on a corresponding one of said supports;
  - Defining a path to be traversed by said supports and said lights; and,
  - Controllably moving each one of said supports and said lights along said path at a selectably variable speed, independently of movement of any other one of said supports and said lights along said path, and while energizing said lights to produce said light beams.
  - Controllably and moving further comprises positioning each one of said supports at a selected Location along said path.

 Controllably and moving further comprises moving said supports and said lights along said path in a selectably variable direction.

Page 3

- Controllably and moving further comprises moving selected ones of said supports and said lights along said path.
- With regards to programmatically movement of the supports, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the programmatically digital lighting system means of Belliveau in the invention of Harwood for the purpose of providing a programmed system which would move the lighting supports of the lighting system to any pre desired settings.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 3. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 12-19 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art cited does not recite a lighting apparatus a drive mechanism for driving each one of said supports and said lights along the track independently of driving of any other one of said supports and lights along said path.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,189

Art Unit: 2875

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (703) 305-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

- Application/Control Number: 09/835,189

Art Unit: 2875

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

**AMT** 

Sendro O'Shea Supervisory Patent Examiner Conter 2800